(f) whether large scale retrenchment of employees is likely to lead to unrest in the country; and

(g) if so, the steps taken or proposed to be taken on war footing to address this serious issue?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) and (b) No exhaustive survey/study has been conducted regarding retrenchment of employees due to economic slowdown. However, a sample study conducted (for the period August-October, 2008) by Department of Commerce for 121 export related companies belonging to several sectors; primarily employment oriented sectors like textiles including garments, leather, engineering, gems and jewellery, handicrafts, food and food processing, minerals and marine products revealed loss of jobs of around 65,500.

(c) to (e) Government is concerned about the impart of economic slowdown in the country and several measures financial and fiscal have been initiated to spur growth and overcome the crisis. Some of the important steps relate to RBI measures to enhance liquidity in the market and an economic package by the Government of India, mainly comprising additional spending, interest subvention and excise duty cuts. These measures will prevent large scale job losses.

(f) and (g) Do not arise.

Implementation of Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986

1815. DR. GYAN PRAKASH PILANIA:
SHRI LALIT KISHORE CHATURVEDI:

Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether out of 35 States/UTs, 21 did not conduct any inspections during 2006-07, to detect violation of the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986;

(b) whether percentage of prosecution and conviction remained very low;

(c) how many have been punished with maximum one year imprisonment;

(d) what are statistics for 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08; and

(e) whether the above statistics show a casual/shoddy implementation of above Act?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) to (e) As per section 2 (i), the Central Government is the appropriate Government in respect of an establishment under the control of the Central Government or a railway administration or a major port or a mine or oilfield and in all other cases, the State Government is the appropriate Government. As per information received from the State Governments, till date, statements showing number of inspections, violations detected, prosecutions launched and convictions obtained during 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08 are enclosed.

Statement

Number of Inspections, Violation detected, Prosecution launched and Conviction obtained during 2005-06, 2006-07 *and* 2007-08 *respectively*

(i)

Sl. No.	Name of State/UT	Inspections conducted			Violations detected		
		2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Andaman and Nicobar Islands U.T.	330	191		0	0	
2.	Andhra Pradesh	11220	53843		8099	53843	
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	199			13		
4.	Assam	3506	1410		1	0	
5.	Bihar	19984	20542		3488	2514	
6.	Chandigarh U.T.	994	1454		0	0	
7.	Chhattisgarh	1217	3648		10	19	
8.	Dadra and Nagar Haveli UT	71	69		0	0	
9.	Daman and Diu U.T.	365	150		0	0	
10.	Delhi U.T.	1020	1446	2587	273	313	338
11.	Goa	387			0		
12.	Gujarat	1624	3636		1217	149	
13.	Haryana	1136	1956		0	0	
14.	Himachal Pradesh	2072	2287		0	0	
15.	Jammu and Kashmir	1481	4378		1	64	
16.	Jharkhand	2635	1704		82	67	
17.	Karnataka	27601	39658		2405	3962	
18.	Kerala	5874	6073		20	23	
19.	Lakshadweep U.T.	1	0		0	0	
20.	Madhya Pradesh	5360	5317		37	150	
21.	Maharashtra	24965	27351	3171	117	399	67
22.	Manipur	241			0		
23.	Meghalaya	299	425		0	0	

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
24.	Mizoram	0	0		0	0	
25.	Nagaland	0	5871		0	0	
26.	Orissa	153	1508		120	240	
27.	Pondicherry U.T.	15291	16590		0	0	
28.	Punjab	5737	9432		23	172	
29.	Rajasthan	3350	6090		0	19	
30.	Sikkim	32	40		0	0	
31.	Tamil Nadu	121166	220667		1434	636	
32.	Tripura	898	157		0	0	
33.	Uttar Pradesh	1926	3807		246	2513	
34.	Uttarakhand	2178	2101		0	2	
35.	West Bengal	3722	3821		36	112	

(ii)

Sl. No.	Name of State/UT	Prosecutions launched			Convictions obtained		
		2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	Andaman and Nicobar Islands U.T.	0	0				
2	Andhra Pradesh	6124	9228		620		
3	Arunachal Pradesh	11					
4	Assam	1	0				
5	Bihar	147	284				
6	Chandigarh U.T.	0	0				
7	Chhattisgarh	10	19				
8	Dadra and Nagar Haveli U.T.	0	0				
9	Daman and Diu U.T.	0	0				
10	Delhi U.T.	253	187	274	10	29	08
11	Goa	0					
12	Gujarat	23	270		02	02	270

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
13.	Haryana	0	0		02		
14.	Himachal Pradesh	0	0				
15.	Jammu and Kashmir	17	60		03	01	
16.	Jharkhand	27	4				
17.	Karnataka	1078	3235		139	170	
18.	Kerala	1	1				
19.	Lakshadweep U.T.	0	0				
20.	Madhya Pradesh	37	150		05	05	
21.	Maharashtra	84	54	23	12	07	
22.	Manipur	0					
23.	Meghalaya	0	0				
24.	Mizoram	0	0				
25.	Nagaland	0	0				
26.	Orissa	1	24		01		
27.	Pondicherry U.T.	0	0				
28.	Punjab	20	129		17	23	
29.	Rajasthan	13	22		06	26	
30.	Sikkim	0	0				
31.	Tamil Nadu	415	603		80	434	
32.	Tripura	0	0				
33.	Uttar Pradesh	19	117		40	19	
34.	Uttarakhand	0	0				
35.	West Bengal	0	7				

Demonstration by Bal Mazdoor Union

1816. SHRIMATI HEMA MALINI : Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that recently Bal Mazdoor Union staged a demonstration at Jantar Mantar, Delhi for alleviating their plight;

(b) whether Government is aware about the corruption among Non-Government Organisations who are amassing money in the name of working for problems of child labourers; and